

Dallas Area Rapid Transit Light Rail Transit

Rail Fleet Management Plan Revision I

February 2009



RAIL FLEET MANAGEMENT PLAN

REVISION HISTORY

REVISION	REVISION DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES
В	November 1998	Light Rail Transit Fleet Management Plan –Incorporating FTA Comments
С	August 16, 2002	Light Rail Transit Fleet Management Plan – Build-Out Phase I
D	December 8, 2003	Light Rail Transit Fleet Management Plan – Various Updates
E	April 14, 2004	Fleet Management Plan SLRV – Complete Update for Build- Out Phase II
F	July 22, 2005	Fleet Management Plan SLRV – Update for latest status of Build-Out Phase II and Incorporation of PMOC Comments
G	October 2006	Fleet Management Plan SLRV – Update for current status of Build-Out Phases II & III; Incorporation of PMOC Comments
Н	February 15, 2008	Rail Fleet Management Plan - Update for latest status of Build- Out Project Phases II & III
Ι	February 13, 2009	Rail Fleet Management Plan - Update for latest status of Build- Out Project Phases II & III

TABLE OF CONTENTSRAIL FLEET MANAGEMENT PLAN

1.0	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Scope 1	
2.0	Exis	sting and Future Light Rail Transit System	2
	2.1	Track Structure	3
	2.2	Stations	8
		2.2.1 At-Grade Stations	8
		2.2.2 Aerial Stations	9
	2.3	Maintenance Facilities	9
		2.3.1 Central Rail Operating Facility (CROF)	10
		2.3.2 Northwest Rail Operating Facility (NWROF)	10
	2.4	Service Characteristics	11
		2.4.1 Service Design	11
		2.4.2 Headways and Train Consists	11
		2.4.3 Speeds	17
		2.4.4 Schedules	17
		2.4.5 Ridership	19
	2.5	Rail Fleet	19
3.0	The	Demand for Revenue Service Vehicles	23
	3.1	Overview and Factors Determining Fleet Size	23
	3.2	Process Summary	23
	3.3	Step One: Determine Maximum Link Loads	25
		3.3.1 Current Daily Ridership / Passenger Demand	25
		3.3.2 Daily Peak Ridership Projections / Maximum Link Loads	
		3 3 3 Build-Out Phases II and III	25
	3.4	Step Two: Define Passenger Load Standards	29
	5.1	3.4.1 Importance of Passenger Load Standards	29
		3.4.2 Current Passenger Load Standards	29
		3.4.3 Future Passenger Load Standard Objectives	29
	35	Sten Three: Determine Vehicle Run Times	30
	5.5	3.5.1 Train Performance Calculations	30
	36	Steps Four and Five: Establish the Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR)	
	5.0	3.6.1 Build-Out Phases II and III	40
	37	Sten Six: Determine If Ready Service/Gan/Standby Trains Will Be Utilized	+0
	3.7	Step Six. Determine In Ready Service/Gap/Standby Trains will be Offized	44
	3.0	Step Seven. Determine Spares Required	44
	5.9	3.0.1 Spare Patio	40
		2.0.2 Summary	40
10	ፐኬራ	Supply of Devenue Vehicles	40 10
4.0	1 ne 1 1	Suppry of Revenue vehicles	40 10
	4.1 4 0	EXISTING FIEL	4ð 10
5.0	4.2 Dari	rianneu Kall Venicle Procurements	48
5.0	ĸev	enue venicie Demand and Supply Balance	49

LIST OF EXHIBITS

2-1	2030 Transit System Plan	4
2-2	Existing LRT System	5
2-3	Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase II Project—Green Line	6
2-4	Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase II Project—Rowlett Extension	7
2-5	Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase III Project—SOC-3	7
2-6	Typical At-Grade Station	
2-7	Typical Aerial Station	9
2-8	Planned System Headways FY 2011	13
2-9	Planned System Headways FY 2012	14
2-10	Planned System Headways FY 2013	15
2-11	Planned System Headways FY 2014	16
2-12	Build-Out Project Phase II and III - LRT System Operating Plan	
2-13	Ridership by Service Day	
2-14	Weekday Riders by Time Quadrant	21

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1	Light Rail Vehicle Fleet Inventory	
3-1	DART LRT Daily Ridership	
3-2	Max Link Loads 2013 LRT System	
3-3	Max Link Loads 2030 LRT System	
3-4	DART Actual and Estimated Run Times	
3-5	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations –	
	SLRV Red/Orange Line – North Central Detail	
3-6	DART Passenger Load Factors - SLRV Red/Orange Line - North Central Summary	32
3-7	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations –	
	SLRV Red/Orange/Blue Line – North Central Detail	33
3-8	DART Passenger Load Factors – SLRV Red/Orange/Blue Line –	
	North Central Summary	33
3-9	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations - SLRV Red Line - West Oak Cliff Detail	34
3-10	DART Passenger Load Factors - SLRV Red Line - West Oak Cliff Summary	
3-11	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations - SLRV Blue Line - Garland Detail	35
3-12	DART Passenger Load Factors – SLRV Blue Line – Garland Summary	35
3-13	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations - SLRV Blue Line - South Oak Cliff Detail	
3-14	DART Passenger Load Factors - SLRV Blue Line - South Oak Cliff Summary	
3-15	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations - SLRV Green Line - Northwest Detail	37
3-16	DART Passenger Load Factors – SLRV Green Line – Northwest Summary	
3-17	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations –	
	SLRV Green / Orange Line – Southeast Detail	38
3-18	DART Passenger Load Factors - SLRV Green / Orange Line - Southeast Summary	
3-19	DART Passenger Load Factor Calculations - SLRV Orange Line - Irving Detail	39
3-20	DART Passenger Load Factors – SLRV Orange Line – Irving Summary	39
3-21	Peak Vehicles Required as Each Line Segment is Opened	
3-22	DART Passenger Demand For Revenue Vehicles, PVR	
3-23	DART Overall Demand For Revenue Vehicles (14%)	47
4-1	DART Light Rail Vehicle Procurement History	
4-2	SLRV Retrofit Schedule	48
4-3	Projected Delivery Schedule Build-Out Phase II-A, II-B, and III	

ACRONYMS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT

ACS	Automatic Cab Signal (System)
CBD	Central Business District (of Dallas, TX)
CBM	Condition Based Maintenance
СМ	Corrective Maintenance
CROF	Central Rail Operating Facility
СТ	Cycle Time
DART	Dallas Area Rapid Transit
FMP	Fleet Management Plan
FSM	Fixed Scheduled Maintenance
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FY	Fiscal Year
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle
HW	Headway
Ι	Irving (Corridor)
LRT	Light Rail Transit
LRV	Light Rail Vehicle
MLL	Maximum Link Load
MOP	Maintenance Operational Plan
NC	North Central (Corridor)
NE	Northeast (Corridor)
NW	Northwest (Corridor)
NWROF	Northwest Rail Operating Facility
OCC	Operations Control Center
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer
PA/VMB	Public Address/Visual Message Board (System)
PEC	Passenger Emergency Call (Device)
PM	Preventative Maintenance
PMI	Preventative Maintenance Inspection
PVR	Peak Vehicle Requirement
R	Rowlett (Corridor)
RFMP	Rail Fleet Management Plan
ROW	Right-of-Way
S&I	Service and Inspection
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SCC	Security Control Center
SLRV	Super Light Rail Vehicle
SOC	South Oak Cliff (Corridor)
TCC	Train Control Center
TPC	Train Performance Calculator/Calculations
TSP	Transit System Plan
TVM	Ticket Vending Machine
WOC	West Oak Cliff (Corridor)

Ways, Structures & Amenities

WSA

| AECOM

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The Rail Fleet Management Plan (RFMP) establishes the current and projected Light Rail Transit (LRT) revenue vehicle fleet size requirements for Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART). It includes a description of the existing LRT services, planned future expansions of these services, current ridership and expected ridership growth, details on the operating spare ratio, and a discussion of current and future needs for rail vehicle maintenance. This plan is an actively managed document based on current conditions and assumptions, and is subject to future revisions on an annual basis. Future occurrences requiring an update to this plan include, but are not limited to, the following:

- strategic changes to DART LRT operations that impact peak vehicle requirements, vehicle mileage, or system load factors;
- new rail vehicle procurements or other fleet disposition changes;
- fleet rebuild, rehabilitation, or overhaul programs;
- overall LRT System expansion;
- service enhancements.

1.2 Scope

The RFMP includes DART's current fleet requirements as well as those for ongoing system expansion, projected ridership growth, and LRT vehicle procurements through Fiscal Year (FY) 2019, which is the projected date for the completion of the Build-Out Phase III Project. This document represents both current-day practices and defines the fleet needs of the LRT System based on various future changes.

Fleet size requirements in this document are based on the technical specifications of the Super Light Rail Vehicle (SLRV). The SLRV includes a low-floor center section installed in the existing two-section DART Light Rail Vehicle (LRV). The SLRV will provide additional passenger capacity compared to the LRV, which is reflected in this report. DART plans to retrofit its existing LRV fleet in stages. This fleet retrofit will be completed in conjunction with, but slightly later than, the inception of revenue service to the Northwest and Southeast corridors. Any new vehicles purchased after this fleet retrofit will be SLRVs (or functionally equivalent to SLRVs). The RFMP also provides an update to the fleet utilization concept for DART's Build-Out Projects based on the current ridership data and operating plans/schedules.

2.0 Existing and Future Light Rail Transit System

The DART Board of Directors approved the first *Transit System Plan* (TSP) in 1989. This Plan was then revised in November 1995 to deal with anticipated changes in the DART service region until the year 2010. In October 2006, the DART Board of Directors approved the 2030 *Transit System Plan*—which covers DART's anticipated role in regional transportation through the year 2030. The approved *Current and Future Services* Map (shown in Exhibit 2-1) includes considerations for almost 100 route miles of Light Rail Transit (LRT), 116 miles of High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes, and 32 miles of commuter rail, which, along with the bus system that serves the area, form a fully integrated intermodal transportation system.

As the backbone of DART's service provision network, the LRT System is the key to meeting the goals set forth in the TSP. The Build-Out Phase II and Phase III Projects, as part of the TSP, will compliment the existing LRT System and expand the DART service area so that the goals of the 2030 TSP may be fulfilled. Other regional projects, such as the Cotton Belt rail line and the connection to the DCTA commuter rail operation, are not addressed in this Fleet Management Plan, as operational and service changes to DART's LRT network associated with these projects are very early in the planning stages.

The existing LRT System consists of approximately 45 route-miles of double track, bidirectional light rail. This system extends from Westmoreland Station to Parker Road Station on the West Oak Cliff (WOC) and North Central (NC) Corridors, and from Ledbetter Station to Downtown Garland Station on the South Oak Cliff (SOC) and Northeast (NE) Corridors. LRT operations on the existing system operate in several types of exclusive right-of-way (ROW) including: fully grade separated, aerial, partially separated at-grade guideway with roadway crossings at-grade, mixed pedestrian mall, and subway tunnel/station operations. The existing system consists of an alignment equipped with crossovers, pocket tracks, and junctions strategically located to ensure optimal operating flexibility and enhanced system recovery during/after abnormal conditions. A schematic of the existing DART LRT System is contained in Exhibit 2-2.

The DART LRT Build-Out Phase II Project consists of an approximately 45 route-mile expansion that will more than double the existing 45 route-mile LRT System track network size to approximately 90 route-miles in length (Exhibits 2-3 and 2-4). Phase II will be completed in two distinct steps, Phase IIA and Phase IIB.

Phase IIA includes the construction of the:

- Southeast Corridor (SE);
- Northwest Corridor (NW);
- Northwest Rail Operating Facility (NWROF).

Phase IIB includes the construction of the:

- Irving Corridor (I);
- Rowlett Extension (R).

When completed in late 2010 (DART Fiscal Year 2011), a new 26.5-mile Green Line serving the Northwest and Southeast Corridors will be added to DART's existing LRT network. The Green Line starts in Pleasant Grove at Buckner Station. It will serve several regional destinations including; Deep Ellum, Baylor University Medical Center, Fair Park, Victory Park, the Dallas Market Center, the Southwestern Medical District, Love Field Airport, the City of Farmers Branch and will terminate in the City of Carrollton at North Carrollton/Frankford Road Station. A section of the Green Line will open in September 2009 (FY 2009) that will serve the State Fair of Texas and several intermediate stations (Victory, Deep Ellum, Fair Park, and J.B. Jackson, Jr. Transit Center at Martin Luther King, Jr. Stations), until such time as the rest of the Green Line is opened on December 4, 2010.

A second light rail vehicle servicing and maintenance facility, the Northwest Rail Operating Facility (NWROF), is being constructed to service the Green and Orange Line trains, and is scheduled to open in concert with the inception of service on the Green Line. A new Orange Line serving Las Colinas and D/FW International Airport will also be constructed after the year 2010. The current schedule shows service on the Orange Line opening in phases from December 2011, December 2012, and December 2013 (FY 2012-2014). Service on the pre-existing Blue Line will also be extended from Downtown Garland to Rowlett in December of 2012 (FY 2013), Exhibit 2-4.

The Build-Out Phase III Project is planned to include alterations/additions to the LRT network in downtown Dallas and an extension of the South Oak Cliff (SOC) Line to the Dallas branch of the University of North Texas (located in southern Dallas). The second Central Business District (CBD) alignment project is in the planning stages, along with the SOC-3 project. The CBD-2 Project is scheduled to open in December 2014 (FY 2015), while the SOC-3 project is scheduled to open in 2018 (FY 2019), which is illustrated in Exhibit 2-5.

2.1 Track Structure

The LRT track structure consists of double-tracked light rail mainline. A number of crossovers are incorporated into the track layout to provide for required movements at junctions and to enable operating flexibility and system recovery during abnormal conditions. Crossover spacing allows for single-track operation during emergency conditions while maintaining a 15-minute headway. Track geometry and alignment, including curvature, gradient and allowable speeds are defined in DART's Design Criteria Manual. Turnouts and crossovers are located to enable all normal expected train moves at junctions, pocket tracks, and terminal stations. Specific interlockings have been designed to maximize operational flexibility. The Build-Out Phase II Project crossovers are fully interlocked (equipped with power switches and signals) and are controlled by the TCC. The entire Build-Out Phase II Project incorporates a bi-directional, automatic cab signaling system and interlocking signals that can be controlled from the Operations Control Center (OCC) via the SCADA system that is integrated with the Train Control Center (TCC). The OCC supports operations and is responsible for controlling, monitoring, and dispatching the entire DART transit system, including LRT operations.



Exhibit 2-2 Existing LRT System Schematic



Exhibit 2-3 Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase II Project—Green and Orange Lines



Exhibit 2-4 Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase II Project—Rowlett Extension



Exhibit 2-5 Existing System with LRT Build-Out Phase III Project—SOC-3



NOTE: Dashed/dotted lines shown are not part of SOC-3 Project.

2.2 Stations

There are a total of twenty-eight stations (two will be deferred from immediate construction) included in the planning for the Build-Out Phase II Project. Each station is distinguished by different architectural treatments (such as pavers and column cladding) and artwork unique to that station. Station planning emphasizes ease of multi-modal transfer for patrons, with planning for transfers between light rail trains and buses, automobiles, bicycles and pedestrian traffic. Station plans for the Build-Out Phase III Project have not yet been finalized.

2.2.1 At-Grade Stations

At-grade stations for the Build-Out Phase II Project are similar in concept and layout to those on the existing system, with some modifications. Features of at-grade stations include: canopies, crosswalks at each end of the station; a platform that is 15 ¹/₂-inches above the top-of-the rail with a 24-inch wide textured warning strip at the edge of the platform¹; and, a fence between the tracks to discourage crossing outside the designated crosswalks. Other features include Passenger Emergency Call (PEC) devices, landscaping, architectural treatments, and artwork to complement the design of the station, pay telephones located approximately in the center of each platform, and newspaper racks at the ends of the platforms. A photograph of a representative at-grade station (side-platform) is shown in Exhibit 2-6. Some at-grade stations have a single platform in the center of the tracks and are designated center platform stations.

Exhibit 2-6 Typical At-Grade Station



¹ The platform height of existing stations will be increased from 8 inches to 15 ½ inches (at either the entire station or in the applicable boarding areas) as platforms are raised as part of the Level Boarding Initiative. This Initiative comprises several Projects, including: the Level Boarding-CBD Stations Project, the Level Boarding-Morrell Demonstration Project, and the Level Boarding-Outlying Stations Project. These Projects will be ongoing between 2008 and 2010, and have a planned completion date of December 2010.

2.2.2 Aerial Stations

Major features of aerial stations are the center platforms with a length of approximately 400 feet; concourses at street level where all Ticket Vending Machines (TVM), newspaper racks and pay telephones are located; passenger sheltering canopies; four sets of stairways and two elevators; a PEC located near each end of the platform for use in emergencies; a Public Address/Visual Message Board (PA/VMB) System, railings protecting the non-boarding side; and landscaping, as well as architectural treatments and artwork to complement the design of the station. The platform areas of the aerial stations are considered "paid" areas, and patrons are required to have the proper fare before entering the platform level of an aerial station. Exhibit 2-7 shows a typical aerial station.

Exhibit 2-7 Typical Aerial Station



2.3 Maintenance Facilities

The Build-Out Phase II Project will increase the size of the DART LRT vehicle fleet to a total of 163 units when all vehicles planned for acquisition have been accepted and enter revenue service. LRT maintenance operations and storage space functionality will be shared between the Central Rail Operating Facility (CROF) and the new Northwest Rail Operating Facility (NWROF).

2.3.1 Central Rail Operating Facility (CROF)

The Central Rail Operating Facility was constructed as part of the original DART LRT System and is the primary maintenance facility for the LRT vehicle fleet. The main facilities at this location include:

- A Service and Inspection (S&I) Facility which includes all light rail vehicle maintenance functions, including material control, offices and administrative areas; the Train Control Center, Bus Dispatch Office, Security Dispatching Center and DART Police offices.
- A Ways, Structures and Amenities Building (WSA) where all wayside and passenger amenities (bus and LRT system) maintenance, including material control, are based;
- Ancillary facilities including an interior cleaning platform, vehicle car wash, yard substation, hazardous materials storage area, and an outdoor material storage area;
- A storage yard capable of storing 120 SLRVs.

2.3.2 Northwest Rail Operating Facility (NWROF)

DART is constructing a second rail operating facility; located on a 34-acre parcel along the Northwest Corridor. The Northwest Rail Operations Facility (NWROF) will provide storage space for approximately 70 SLRVs as well as space for maintenance and administrative functions. The facility will comprise 16 double-ended storage tracks, revenue vehicle wash facilities, a two-track cleaning platform, Service and Inspection Facility (S&I), and a Ways, Structures and Amenities (WSA) building. The Service and Inspection Facility is a 73,000 square foot, two-story building consisting of the following areas: Primary Maintenance, Component Shops, Cleaning Platforms, Materials Management; Fleet Services and Rail Operations. The WSA Building is a single-story 38,500 square foot building, including warehouse space, consisting of the following areas: general repair, tool room, material storage, and personnel support facilities.

2.4 Service Characteristics

This section describes the service characteristics and operating parameters for the existing LRT System, as well as system operations in the near future. It includes hours of service, headways, train consist information, schedules, operating speeds, and other service related issues.

2.4.1 Service Design

The design of the DART LRT services described herein were formulated using DART ridership forecasts, and provide the level of service that will attract fare-paying passengers to the system. Scheduled revenue operations begin at approximately 4:00 a.m. and terminate at approximately 1:00 a.m. (the next morning), seven days per week. These hours of service are coordinated with the operations of the DART bus system, which provides feeder service to the LRT System in many instances. Peak period service on weekdays takes place between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., and between the hours of 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., on all Line Sections. Off-peak and Saturday, Sunday and holiday services are also provided with more lengthy headways and smaller consists than on regular weekdays during peak periods.

Upon completion of the Build Out Phase II Project, train services will operate as:

- Westmoreland Station to Parker Road Station (Red Line);
- Ledbetter Station to Rowlett Station (Blue Line);
- North Carrollton/Frankford Road Station to Buckner Station (Green Line);
- DFW Airport Station to Parker Road Station or Lawnview Station (Orange Line split service in peak periods);
- DFW Airport Station to Lawnview Station (Orange Line service during nonpeak periods, including all day Saturday and Sunday).

Upon inception of Orange Line Service in December 2011, the Red Line Filler Trains (Parker Road Station to West End Station) will no longer operate. Special event service may be offered as needed. Overall fleet size planning should not be impacted by special event-type services.

2.4.2 Headways and Train Consists

"Headway" is defined as the time interval between the passing of successive trains in a similar direction at a specific point on the rail system. Optimal design headways are determined by an analysis of the projected peak passenger loadings, and are generally governed (but not specifically set) by the service provision directives of the DART Board of Directors. Headways for the LRT System, as described below, are designed to meet ridership projections described in this section.

The combination of train frequency and consist size provides a level of service sufficient to carry the projected volume of passengers through the maximum load section during the peak periods. During the peak, a maximum allowable loading factor of 1.50 (or approximately 144 passengers

per vehicle) is used for scheduling purposes.² The 1.50 load factor equates to 48 standing passengers for every 96-seated passengers per each SLRV. The peaked nature of transit travel sometimes causes this loading factor to be exceeded, but only for a short time during the rush hour peak periods. Headways and train consist sizes very rarely are overwhelmed by demand on the system. During off-peak hours, there should ideally be seats for all passengers. System element design does have an impact on headways and train consist size potential. The normal consist size will be one or two SLRVs. Red and Blue Line Stations can accommodate these consists. Central Business District (CBD) and Green Line Stations can accommodate a consist of up to three SLRVs.

Headways for the system, as operated within the confines of the Build Out Phase II Project, are shown in Exhibits 2-8 through 2-11. The headways necessary to accommodate mid-day (non-peak) service are not necessarily driven by peak ridership demands. The base level of service deemed necessary to attract ridership patronage to the system effectively determines off-peak headways. Headways longer than a certain threshold discourage off-peak ridership. Early morning or late evening service may consist of a single SLRV if conditions warrant. Weekend ridership volumes are also monitored since additional vehicles are available to provide additional service if required.

Most trains are scheduled to begin and end their trips at NWROF or CROF. Maintenance facilities will not serve a specified pool or fleet of SLRVs: operational constraints and maintenance needs will dictate where cars are stored or maintained on a daily basis. In addition to DART maintenance facilities, tail tracks may be utilized at terminal (end-of-the-line) stations for the staging or storage of trains during mid-day and overnight hours. This helps minimize empty repositioning of vehicles and other operating costs, and is consistent with DART policies. As the Build-Out Phase II Project is completed, there will be storage space available for SLRVs at the following terminal stations:

- Rowlett Station 6 vehicles
- Parker Road Station 12 vehicles
- North Carrollton/Frankford Road Station 5/6 vehicles
- Beltline/DFW Station TBD
- Westmoreland Station 4 vehicles
- Buckner Station 6 vehicles

DART Design Criteria requires the provision of a minimum of 500 feet of storage track at terminal stations to accommodate selected trains that are pre-positioned for the next day's operational plan. Selected stations have more than the minimum, and some stations have been exempted from this provision based on area constraints (there is no storage at Ledbetter, for instance). Some station tail tracks are abbreviated due to turnouts in place for a third (non-powered) track on which Maintenance Department vehicles are stored.

² See Chapter 3, Section 4.2 for more information on Load Limits and Service Standards.

Exhibit 2-8 Planned System Headways FY 2011—Opening of Green Line

		am									
	SERVICE	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	
ay	Westmoreland-Parker Road		1 car train @	🤉 30 mii	nute he	adways		1 car	@ 20	0 min HW	
pur	Ledbetter-Garland		1 car train @ 30 minute headways 1 car @ 20 min H								
SI	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		2 car trains @ 30 minute headways 2 car @ 20								
у	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @ 20 min HW 2 car @ 10 min HW 2 car @								nin HW	
kda	Ledbetter-Garland	2 car	@ 20 min HW	2 ca	r @ 10	min HW	2	car @	20 n	nin HW	
Vee	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car	@ 20 min HW	2&30	car @ 1	0 min HW	2	car @	20 n	nin HW	
>	Parker Road-West End Filler			2 ca	r @ 10	min HW					
lay	Westmoreland-Parker Road		2 car trains	@ 30 mi	inute he	adways		2 car	@ 20	0 min HW	
turc	Ledbetter-Garland		1 car train @) 30 mii	nute he	adways		1 car	@ 20	0 min HW	
Sa	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		2 car trains	2 30 mi	inute he	eadways		2 car	@ 20	0 min HW	
		10.100									
	SERVICE	рт 12	1	2	z	А	5	6			
ک	Westmoreland-Parker Road		1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	vs	<u> </u>			
Jda	Ledbetter-Garland		1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ivs				
Sul	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		2 car tr	ains @ :	20 mini	ite headwa	avs				
	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2	car @ 20 min	HW		2 car @ 1	0 min	HW			
day	Ledbetter-Garland	2	car @ 20 min	HW		2 car @ 1	0 min	HW			
eek	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2	car @ 20 min	HW	2	<u>& 3 car @</u>	10 m	nin HW	,		
M	Parker Road-West End Filler		<u> </u>			2 car @ 1	0 min	HW			
ay	Westmoreland-Parker Road		2 car tr	ains @ :	20 minu	ute headwa	avs				
urd	Ledbetter-Garland		1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	VS				
Sat	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains @ 20 minute headways									
		-	0	0	10	11	10	1		2	
	SERVICE	/	ð .	9	10		12			2	
day	Westmoreland-Parker Road		1	car trair	n @ 30	minute he	adwa	ays			

у	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
pur	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
SL	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	1 car train	@ 30 minute headways					
lay	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car @ 20 min HW	1 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
eko	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car @ 20 min HW	1 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
We	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min HW	2 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
lay	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car trains	@ 30 minute headways					
iuro	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
Sat	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains @ 30 minute headways						

Exhibit 2-9 Planned System Headways FY 2012—Opening of Irving-1 Line Section

		am					
	SERVICE	4 5	6 7	7 8	9	10	11
λ	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car train @	30 mi	nute headwa	ys	1 car @ 2	20 min HW
daj	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car train @	1 car @ 2	20 min HW			
un	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains @	2 car @ 2	20 min HW			
S	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car train @	1 car @ 2	20 min HW			
/	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @ 20 min HW	2	car @ 10 mir	n HW	2 car @ 20) min HW
day	Ledbetter-Garland	2 car @ 20 min HW	2	<u>car @ 10 mir</u>	n HW	2 car @ 20) min HW
eka	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min HW	2&	3 car @ 10 n	nin HW	2 car @ 20) min HW
We	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car @ 20 min HW	2	car @ 20 mir	n HW	2 car @ 20) min HW
	North Las Colinas-Parker Road (peak only)		2	car @ 20 mir	n HW		
ay	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car trains @	30 m	inute headwa	ays	2 car @ 2	20 min HW
Irdá	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car train @	30 mi	nute headwa	ys	1 car @ 2	20 min HW
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains @	30 m	inute headwa	ays	2 car @ 2	20 min HW
Ω.	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car train @	1 car @ 2	20 min HW			
		pm					
	SERVICE	12 1	2 3	3 4	5	6	
У	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car tra	ain @	20 minute he	eadways		Ī
da	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car tra	ain @	20 minute he	eadways		_
nn	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car tra	ins @	20 minute h	eadways		
0)	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car tra	ain @	20 minute he	eadways		_
У	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @ 20 min HV	N	2 car	@ 10 min	HW	_
da	Ledbetter-Garland	2 car @ 20 min HV	N	2 car	@ 10 min	HW	_
sek	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min HV	N	2 & 3 ca	ar @ 10 m	in HW	_
We	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	2 car @ 20 min HV	N	2 car	@ 20 min	HW	-
	North Las Colinas-Parker Road (peak only)			2 car	@ 20 min	HW	-
lay	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car tra	ins @	20 minute h	eadways		-
nrd	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car tra	ain @	20 minute he	adways		_
atı	North Carroliton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car tra		-			
- 0)-	NORTH LAS COIINAS-LAWINVIEW	i car train @ 20 minute headways					
	SEDVICE	7 0		10 14	10	1	2
		/ 8	9				2
N	Westmoreland-Parker Road	10	car tra	un @ 30 minu	ute headw	ays	

λ	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
qa	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
n	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
S	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car train @ 30 minute headways						
y	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car @ 20 min HW	1 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
kda	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car @ 20 min HW	1 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
/ee	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min HW 2 car trains @ 30 minute headways						
\leq	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	2 car @ 20 min HW	1 car trains @ 30 minute headways					
ay	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car tra	ains @ 30 minute headways					
Ird	Ledbetter-Garland	1 car tr	ain @ 30 minute headways					
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car tra	ains @ 30 minute headways					
0)	North Las Colinas-Lawnview	1 car tr	ain @ 30 minute headways					

Exhibit 2-10 Planned System Headways FY 2013—Opening of Irving-2 Line Section

		am							
	SERVICE	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
~	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1	car train	@ 30 I	minute l	neadways		1 car @	20 min HW
da	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1	car train	@ 30 ı	minute l	neadways		1 car @	20 min HW
un	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2	car trains	@ 30	minute	headways		2 car @	20 min HW
5	Belt Line-Lawnview	1	car train	@ 30 ı	minute l	neadways		1 car @	20 min HW
	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @	20 min H	W 2	car @ 1	10 min HW		2 car @ 2	20 min HW
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	2 car @	20 min H	W 2	car @ 1	10 min HW		2 car @ 2	20 min HW
eka	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @	20 min H	W2&	3 car @	10 min HV	V	2 car @ 2	20 min HW
We	Belt Line-Lawnview	1 car @	20 min H	W 2	car @ 2	20 min HW		2 car @ 2	20 min HW
	Belt Line-Parker Road (peak only)			2	car @ 2	20 min HW			
Ń	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2	car trains	@ 30	minute	headways		2 car @	20 min HW
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1	car train	@ 30 ı	minute l	neadways		1 car @	20 min HW
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2	car trains	@ 30	minute	headways		2 car @	20 min HW
Š	Belt Line-Lawnview	1	car train	@ 30 I	minute l	headways		1 car @	20 min HW
		pm							
	SERVICE	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	-
>	Westmoreland-Parker Road		1 car	train @	20 mii	nute headw	ays		
da	Ledbetter-Rowlett		1 car	train @	20 mii	nute headw	ays		
un	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		2 car t	rains (@ 20 mi	inute headv	ays		
5	Belt Line-Lawnview		1 car	train @	20 mii	nute headw	ays		
-	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 ca	ar @ 20 m	in HW		2 car @ 1	0 mir	n HW	
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	2 ca	ar @ 20 m	in HW		2 car @ 1	0 mir	n HW	
eka	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 ca	ar @ 20 m	in HW		2 & 3 car @	2 10 m	nin HW	
We	Belt Line-Lawnview	2 ca	ar @ 20 m	in HW		2 car @ 2	20 mir	n HW	
	Belt Line-Parker Road (peak only)					2 car @ 2	20 mir	n HW	
Ŋ	Westmoreland-Parker Road		2 car t	rains (@ 20 mi	inute headv	/ays		
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett		1 car	train @	20 mii	nute headw	ays		
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		2 car t	rains (@ 20 m	inute headv	vays		
Š	Belt Line-Lawnview		1 car	train @	20 mii	nute headw	ays		

	SERVICE	7	8	9	10	11	12 1	2			
unday	Westmoreland-Parker Road			1 car tr	ain @ 3	0 minut	e headways	5			
	Ledbetter-Rowlett		1 car train @ 30 minute headways								
	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		1 car train @ 30 minute headways								
0)	Belt Line-Lawnview		1 car train @ 30 minute headways								
Ŋ	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car @ 20 min HW 1 car trains @ 30 minute h							/ays		
kda	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1	1 car @ 20 min HW 1 car trains @ 30 minute headways								
ee'	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2	2 car @ 20 r	nin HW	2	car trai	ns @ 30 mi	nute headw	vays		
$^{>}$	Belt Line-Lawnview	2	2 car @ 20 r	nin HW	1	car trai	ns @ 30 mi	nute headw	vays		
Ņ	Westmoreland-Parker Road			2 car tra	ains @ 3	30 minut	te headway	S			
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett			1 car tr	ain @ 3	0 minut	e headways	6			
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner			2 car tra	ains @ 3	30 minut	te headway	S			
ŝ	Belt Line-Lawnview			1 car tr	ain @ 3	0 minut	e headways	5			
Saturday	Ledbetter-Rowlett North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner Belt Line-Lawnview			1 car tr 2 car tr 2 car tr 1 car tr	ains @ 3 ains @ 3 ains @ 3	0 minut 30 minut 30 minut	e headway te headway te headway e headway	5 5 5 5			

| AECOM

Exhibit 2-11 Planned System Headways FY 2014—Opening of Irving-3 Line Section

		am						
	SERVICE	4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11
~	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car train @	2 30 mir	nute he	adways		1 car @	20 min HW
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1 car train @	2 30 mir	nute he	adways		1 car @	20 min HW
nn	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains (@ 30 mi	nute he	eadways		2 car @	20 min HW
0)	DFW Airport-Lawnview	1 car train @	2 30 mir	nute he	adways		1 car @	20 min HW
2	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @ 20 min HW	2 car	@ 10 r	nin HW	2 0	ar @ 20	min HW
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	2 car @ 20 min HW	2 car	@ 10 r	nin HW	2 0	ar @ 20	min HW
eka	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min HW	2 & 3 c	ar @ 10) min HW	2 c	ar @ 20	min HW
We	DFW Airport-Lawnview	1 car @ 20 min HW	2 car	@ 20 r	nin HW	2 0	ar @ 20	min HW
	DFW Airport-Parker Road (peak only)		2 car	@ 20 r	nin HW			
Ž	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car trains	@ 30 mi	nute he	adways		2 car @	20 min HW
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1 car train @	2 30 mir	nute he	adways		1 car @	20 min HW
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car trains (@ 30 mi	nute he	eadways		2 car @	20 min HW
ŝ	DFW Airport-Lawnview	1 car train @	🧕 30 mir	nute he	adways		1 car @	20 min HW
		pm						
		12 1	2	3	4	5	6	
λ	Westmoreland-Parker Road	1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ays		
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ays		
Sun	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car tra	ains @ 2	20 minu	ute headw	ays		
0)	DFW Airport-Lawnview	1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ays		
	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car @ 20 min	HW		2 car @ 1	0 min	HW	
day	Ledbetter-Rowlett	2 car @ 20 min	HW		2 car @ 1	0 min	HW	
ēk	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car @ 20 min	HW	2	& 3 car @	10 mi	n HW	
We	DFW Airport-Lawnview	2 car @ 20 min	HW		2 car @ 2	20 min	HW	
·	DFW Airport-Parker Road (peak only)				2 car @ 2	20 min	HW	
Уf	Westmoreland-Parker Road	2 car tra	ains @ 2	20 minu	ute headw	ays		
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett	1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ays		
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	2 car tra	ains @ 2	20 minu	ute headw	ays		
Ñ	DFW Airport-Lawnview	1 car ti	ain @ 2	20 minu	te headwa	ays		

		7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2		
λ	Westmoreland-Parker Road			1 car tra	ain @ 30	minute	headwa	ays			
daj	Ledbetter-Rowlett		1 car train @ 30 minute headways								
nno	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner		1 car train @ 30 minute headways								
0)	DFW Airport-Lawnview			1 car tra	ain @ 30	minute	headwa	ays			
уŧ	Westmoreland-Parker Road		1 car @ 20 m	in HW	1	car train	s @ 30	minut	te headways		
kda	Ledbetter-Rowlett		1 car @ 20 m	in HW	HW 1 car trains @ 30 minute headway						
'ee	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner	1	2 car @ 20 m	in HW	2	car train	s @ 30	minut	te headways		
$^{\sim}$	DFW Airport-Lawnview		2 car @ 20 m	in HW	1	car train	s @ 30	minut	te headways		
<u>Y</u>	Westmoreland-Parker Road			2 car tra	ains @ 30) minute	headw	ays			
rda	Ledbetter-Rowlett			1 car tra	ain @ 30	minute	headwa	ays			
atu	North Carrollton/Frankford-Buckner			2 car tra	ains @ 30) minute	headw	ays			
Š	DFW Airport-Lawnview 1 car train @ 30 minute headways										

| AECOM

2.4.3 Speeds

The maximum design speed for the track and ROW on the LRT Build-Out Phase II Project is 65 mph. This maximum design speed is consistent with that which exists on the current LRT System. In the existing LRT System, Train Operators have full control of the train speed--there is no automatic speed control system. The Build-Out Phase II Project will incorporate an automatic cab signal system (ACS), which will serve to control the maximum speed of the train. Twelve cab speed codes will be used to control SLRV operations: the 0, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 45, 55, 60 and 65 mph codes and the "end-of-cab territory" code. Speed signs located along the right-of-way are placed in advance of a speed restriction as defined in the DART's Light Rail System Book of Operating Rules. Signs along the right-of-way also inform Train Operators when they may alter the speed level of the train. Several intermediate speed restrictions are located along the ROW to accommodate route alignment and other characteristics of the ROW, which serves to reduce operating speeds on certain Line Sections. Cab or wayside signals (depending on the Line Section), fencing and other system features are utilized, where necessary, to achieve the maximum authorized operating speed possible on any Line Section. Operating speeds do not exceed 45 mph on any line section where train operation is by line-of-sight rules (certain Starter System sections), or if fencing is not installed to deter trespassers from entering the ROW. In highway and street running sections, traffic signal progression sequences and the civil speed limit of the parallel highway govern LRT operations.

2.4.4 Schedules

The DART LRT System runs on schedules based on factors such as passenger demand, train cycle times, fleet size, and others. DART develops preliminary LRT System schedules for both weekday and weekend services. The next step of the scheduling process has integrated time of day service, headway intervals, consist sizes, train run times, combined with existing system and Build-Out Phase II Project service planning into a comprehensive system schedule. These schedules are used to determine the fleet size needed to operate at desired service levels (described in Section 4.5), determine facility and engineering requirements, estimate the required Train Operator hours, car hours, car miles, and accounting information.

Schedules are developed to assure service reliability and manage the equipment cycle to minimize the number of vehicles in operation. The system operates efficiently when it accounts for the appropriate balance between service reliability and vehicle operations. Schedules are developed using civil engineering characteristics, Train Performance Calculations (TPC), headway plans, sequencing of trains at junctions, dwell times at stations stops, turn times for equipment/operators at various locations, traffic signal sequencing, and scheduled recovery times. Services are scheduled to operate between the following points (see also Exhibit 2-12):

- Green Line North Carrollton/Frankford Road Station and Buckner Station;
- Peak Orange Line DFW Airport Station to Lawnview/Parker Road Stations;
- Non-peak Orange Line DFW Airport Station to Lawnview Station;
- Red Line Westmoreland Station and Parker Road Station
- Blue Line Ledbetter Station and Rowlett Station.

Exhibit 2-12 Build-Out Project Phase II and III-- LRT System Operating Plan



2.4.5 Ridership

Normal weekday ridership for the LRT System is over 70,000 patrons per day. During the weekend, passenger counts are in the range of 20,000-30,000 patrons per day. Peak hour ridership is approximately 19% of the daily volumes. Upon completion of the Green Line and Orange Line, total daily ridership numbers are projected to nearly double for the DART LRT System. The ridership level on the Red Line has traditionally been higher than that on the Blue Line. Due to community demographics favorable to transit ridership, it is expected that the ridership on the Green Line will surpass that of the Orange Line. See Exhibits 2-13 and 2-14 for current ridership by Service Day and Time Quadrant for the LRT System.

2.5 Rail Fleet

As of February 5, 2009, DART's existing rail vehicle fleet to support the RFMP contains eightysix (86) two-segment light rail vehicles (LRVs) and twenty-nine (29) converted three-segment SLRVs. All LRVs will be altered to become SLRVs by late 2010/early 2011. An additional fortyeight (48) newly built three-segment SLRVs will be acquired after this date, bringing the total size of the fleet to one hundred and sixty-three (163) SLRVs. KinkiSharyo USA, LLC, has manufactured all the LRVs and the C-car for the SLRV. KinkiSharyo USA, LLC, will also construct the newly-built SLRVs mentioned above.

All LRVs are currently being retrofitted with a new 30-foot center section "C-Car," of a low floor design. During this retrofit process, an additional non-operational truck is installed at the second articulation of the vehicle, increasing the number of axles on the vehicle to eight. This new vehicle style will be referred to as an SLRV. The modification process has begun and will continue until all LRVs are retrofitted. To evaluate the performance and operational requirements of an SLRV, DART, in conjunction with the manufacturer, has built a prototype SLRV. LRV #170 was retrofitted as an SLRV and was tested. The tests included comprehensive performance tests with the SLRV operating per the normal LRT schedule. The results of the performance testing indicated minor impacts to performance and run times. However, as these tests verified earlier simulations, no schedule adjustments were necessary.

The SLRV collects power from a nominal 750-volt direct current (dc) overhead contact system by means of a single pantograph on each vehicle. Four alternating current (ac) motors located at the axles on each of the end trucks power the SLRV. The C-Car trucks are not powered. The SLRVs are capable of being independently operated or as multiple unit consists. Each vehicle has cab controls located at each end. Doorways permit boarding from either low-level (center door) or raised platforms. Scheduled SLRV consists shall vary from one to three vehicles depending on the time of day and particular route. All DART SLRVs are 123 feet, 8 inches long (coupler to coupler) and have 96 seats with room for 119 additional passengers standing comfortably. A total of 178 standing passengers can be accommodated at the "crush load" for a maximum vehicle capacity of approximately 274 passengers. Figure 2-1 shows the Existing Fleet Inventory listed by vehicle ID number and the acceptance date of the vehicle, along with the SLRV conversion date.

Ridership by Service Day

Date Range included in Report: 12/1/2008-12/31/2008

Survey dates used to calculate statistics: 10/1/2008-12/31/2008

LINE	Blue				
	Service Operated	Total Alightings	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings	Statistical Accuracy
	Weekday	552,316	21	26,301	±3.5%
	Saturday	51,237	5	10,247	±6.8%
	Sunday	37,416	5	7,483	$\pm 5.9\%$
Subtotal for B	LUE LINE:	640,968	31	20,676	
LINE	Red				
	Service Operated	Total Alightings	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings	Statistical Accuracy
	Weekday	860,150	21	40,690	±3.0%
	Saturday	90,918	5	18,184	±6.7%
	Sunday	60,874	5	12,175	±7.0%
Subtotal for R	ED LINE:	1,011,942	31	32,643	
TOTAL	Service Operated	Total Alightings	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings	
	Weekday	1,412,466	21	67,260	
	Saturday	142,154	5	28,431	
	Sunday	98,290	5	19,658	
	Total	1,652,910	31		

Weekday Riders by Time Quadrant

Date Range included in Report: 12/1/2008-12/31/2008

Survey dates used to calculate statistics: 7/1/2008-12/31/2008

LINE	Blue				
	Time Quadrant	Total Alightings	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings	Statistical Accuracy
	4 am to 9 am	158,332	21	7,540	±4.6%
	9 am to 3 pm	160,854	21	7,660	±4.3%
	3 pm to 7 pm	185,542	21	8,835	±4.4%
	7 pm to 1 am	62,661	21	2,984	±6.5%
	Total	567,389	21	27,019	
IINF	Ded				
	Reu				
LINE	Time Quadrant	Total Alightings	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings	Statistical Accuracy
LINE	Time Quadrant 4 am to 9 am	Total Alightings 258,530	Days in Period	Average Daily Alightings 12,311	Statistical Accuracy ±4.2%
LINE	Time Quadrant4 am to 9 am9 am to 3 pm	Total Alightings 258,530 195,236	Days in Period 21 21	Average Daily Alightings 12,311 9,297	Statistical Accuracy ±4.2% ±3.5%
LINE	RedTime Quadrant4 am to 9 am9 am to 3 pm3 pm to 7 pm	Total Alightings 258,530 195,236 336,449	Days in Period 21 21 21	Average Daily Alightings 12,311 9,297 16,021	Statistical Accuracy ±4.2% ±3.5% ±3.9%
LINE	RedTime Quadrant4 am to 9 am9 am to 9 am9 am to 3 pm3 pm to 7 pm7 pm to 1 am	Total Alightings 258,530 195,236 336,449 90,268	Days in Period 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	Average Daily Alightings 12,311 9,297 16,021 4,298	Statistical Accuracy ±4.2% ±3.5% ±3.9% ±5.2%
LINE	RedTime Quadrant4 am to 9 am9 am to 9 am9 am to 3 pm3 pm to 7 pm7 pm to 1 amTotal	Total Alightings 258,530 195,236 336,449 90,268 880,483	Days in Period 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	Average Daily Alightings 12,311 9,297 16,021 4,298 41,928	Statistical Accuracy ±4.2% ±3.5% ±3.9% ±5.2%

FIGURE 2-1 LIGHT RAIL VEHICLE FLEET INVENTORY

VEH	ACCEPT.	SLRV	VEH	ACCEPT.	SLRV	VEH	ACCEPT.	SLRV
#	DATE*	CONV.+	#	DATE*	CONV.+	#	DATE*	CONV.+
101	03/28/96		140	09/19/96		179	07/26/00	
102	12/01/95		141	04/30/99	10/22/08	180	06/19/00	
103	02/28/97		142	07/04/99	10/09/08	181	05/19/00	
104	02/22/96	10/14/08	143	07/21/99	10/27/08	182	05/05/00	
105	12/22/95	07/07/08	144	07/30/99	11/10/08	183	05/19/00	
106	05/30/96	01/12/09	145	08/10/99	11/18/08	184	08/16/00	
107	03/26/96	12/24/08	146	09/01/99	09/02/08	185	09/19/00	
108	03/21/96		147	08/24/99	11/03/08	186	10/16/00	
109	08/28/95		148	09/20/99	09/08/08	187	09/19/00	
110	02/08/96		149	10/01/99	11/26/08	188	10/16/00	
111	03/28/96		150	10/08/99	12/01/08	189	07/09/01	
112	03/28/96		151	08/03/99	06/20/08	190	10/16/00	
113	12/12/95		152	08/16/99	12/15/08	191	10/16/00	09/16/08
114	03/28/96		153	11/11/99		192	10/16/00	
115	03/28/96		154	03/15/00	12/15/08	193	10/16/00	
116	05/11/96		155	03/10/00		194	10/16/00	09/30/08
117	12/12/95		156	02/28/00		195	05/18/01	
118	03/22/96		157	02/18/00	09/24/08	196	07/12/06	01/22/09
119	03/21/96		158	02/09/00	02/02/09	197	07/07/06	07/31/08
120	03/11/96		159	12/23/99		198	11/29/06	
121	03/15/96		160	01/28/00	07/31/08	199	10/27/06	12/24/08
122	03/28/96		161	12/16/99		200	02/16/06	02/04/09
123	02/08/96		162	11/11/99		201	10/31/06	
124	03/28/96		163	12/16/99		202	10/24/06	
125	05/30/96		164	01/31/00		203	10/27/06	
126	06/06/96		165	12/06/99		204	06/29/06	
127	05/29/96		166	12/01/99		205	09/21/06	
128	05/11/96		167	12/23/99		206	10/09/06	
129	10/03/96		168	01/18/00		207	08/03/06	
130	12/11/96		169	01/31/00		208	08/11/06	
131	03/13/96		170	03/10/00	07/01/08	209	12/29/06	
132	10/25/96		171	02/18/00		210	10/09/06	
133	06/06/96		172	02/09/00		211	06/12/06	01/13/09
134	03/28/96	07/31/08	173	10/25/99		212	07/28/06	
135	05/08/96		174	11/11/99		213	07/26/06	
136	04/15/96		175	03/22/00		214	06/12/06	
137	08/08/96		176	04/27/00		215	06/29/06	
138	08/12/96		177	10/16/00				
139	07/16/96		178	10/16/00				

*Cars 101-195: dates reflect final acceptance of vehicle. Cars: 196-215 dates reflect conditional acceptance of vehicle. +SLRV Conversion dates reflect date of Conditional Acceptance of SLRV by DART Maintenance. Data current as of 2-5-09.

3.0 The Demand for Revenue Service Vehicles

The demand for revenue service vehicles has been established for the existing LRT System; and for the system as it will exist after the Build-Out Phase II and III Projects have been completed. This section will document the process used to determine the demand for revenue vehicles.

3.1 Overview and Factors Determining Fleet Size

Quality of service provided to patrons is ultimately the factor that determines the success of any transit system. Service quality must be maintained to promote public acceptance and increasing usage of the transit system. Quality of service is a function of the following factors:

- Network reach;
- Reliability;
- Speed;
- Frequency;
- Comfort;
- Cleanliness;
- Safety;
- Convenience;
- Operating Efficiency.

Several of these factors are primarily related to fleet size and composition of the vehicle fleet.

3.2 Process Summary

Determination of the optimal fleet size is a process that requires input from a variety of sources, and the consideration of a variety of factors. DART analysis of fleet sizing occurs during each phase of system development. Major determinants of the LRT System fleet size are:

- Current & projected ridership;
- Demographic trends in the service area;
- Changes to factors that impact system usage;
- System operating capacity;
- Addition of new rail segments;
- Addition of new stations along existing lines;
- Implementation of major overhaul program(s);
- Maintenance requirements (anticipated/unanticipated);
- Acceptable/desired headways;
- Vehicle retirement programs;
- Vehicle replacement programs;
- Acceptable/desired passenger load factors.

The process used to determine DART's LRT fleet size requirements is consistent with current Federal Transit Administrative (FTA) guidelines, as summarized below.

Step One: Determine peak passenger demand at the maximum load points by utilizing actual counts of present ridership and estimates of future demand. These demand estimates are made by DART Service Planning staff and are known as the Maximum Link Loads (MLL). The Maximum Link Load is defined as follows: Link loads represent the total number of people that travel between any two consecutive stations within a defined time parameter. The maximum link load represents the capacity for which the system must not exceed. An MLL is determined for each major segment of a Line Section. Future passenger demand is projected based on regional growth estimates. System additions, fare pricing changes, and system access measures also influence passenger demand.

Step Two: Define and adopt passenger load standards and calculate load factor. Typically, the load standards adopted by DART are a statement of the quality of service DART strives to provide to the public. The DART Board of Directors sets these load standards. The philosophy followed may be stated as such: the more generous the standard in terms of seating capacity per passenger, the more attractive the service. However, a more generous (lower) load standard requires more vehicles or faster headways to accommodate patrons. The load factor is the ratio of passengers to the capacity of the vehicle.

Step Three: *Determine (calculate and/or measure) vehicle run times.* These times should include the trip time in each direction on a line segment, as well as the time allotted for vehicle and Train Operator turnaround at each terminal station, resulting in a total cycle time calculation.

Step Four: Calculate the quantity of vehicles required at the maximum load points. The adopted load standards are applied to the MLLs to determine the number of vehicles required on a Line Section or operating segment during a defined peak period.

Step Five: *Establish the Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR).* The PVR is based on the selected headway and consist sizes that allow DART to comply with the passenger load standard criteria during the peak period.

Step Six: *Explain if any "gap" or ready reserve trains will be utilized in the operating strategy.* Ready reserve trains are those held in "backup" status in case of vehicle failure on the system or other emergency. These vehicles will be added to the peak vehicle requirement for revenue service.

Step Seven: Determine the operating spares ratio necessary to meet the PVR. This is usually expressed as a percentage of the active fleet in excess of the daily schedule requirements. It provides for a sufficient quantity of vehicles to be available for routine preventative maintenance, and also assumes a certain quantity of vehicles will be unavailable each day because of unplanned corrective maintenance. Vehicles may also be out-of-service for light or heavy overhauls, or for major structural repair.

Step Eight: *Determine the total fleet demand.* This is the sum of the quantity of vehicles required for peak scheduled service and the quantity of operating spares required. From this sum, the planned operating spare ratio can be calculated.

3.3 Step One: Determine Maximum Link Loads

3.3.1 Current Daily Ridership / Passenger Demand

Figure 3-1 shows the average weekday passenger counts from June 1996 to December 2008. Since the inception of service on the DART LRT System, and through the addition of each new Line Section, overall ridership has increased steadily. The figures presented in the passenger demand graph are largely consistent with DART Service Planning ridership projections made in advance of opening each Line Section for revenue service.

3.3.2 Daily Peak Ridership Projections / Maximum Link Loads

The Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR) is the total quantity of rail vehicles needed simultaneously in the peak periods to satisfy passenger demand while keeping per-vehicle passenger loads at or below a predetermined mandated level. On weekdays the peak period of service is defined as two separate 180-minute time blocks – one in the morning (6 am to 9 am) and one in the evening (3 pm to 6 pm). Passenger loads are measured at each station in each Line Section throughout each peak period and are evaluated in one-hour increments to determine appropriate headway and fleet requirements. Load conditions between any two stations have been provided from data collected and prepared by DART System Planning for existing and projected system ridership.

DART System Planning has projected ridership data for the year 2013 and 2030, and from this data, the Maximum Link Loads (MLLs) can be calculated. These projections and the proposed 2013 Operations and Maintenance Plan are used as the basis for this section. The CBD section, from Pearl Station to West End Station, has not been specifically included in determining load factors on the LRT System. The stations in this section will see a greater number of trains than other Line Segments open for revenue service. Furthermore, the headways in the CBD will facilitate higher passenger tolerance to high load factors, as more patrons board and alight in the CBD than in other areas. In addition to other factors, these MLLs are key pieces of data, as they will be used to express future ridership levels on the system, and subsequently, will be the criteria used to plan and build the system based on ridership and capacity.

3.3.3 Build-Out Phases II and III

Ridership levels and peak Maximum Link Loads for the Build-Out Phase II and III Projects have been calculated. Figure 3-2 shows the MLL for the projected 2013 LRT System, while Figure 3-3 illustrates the MLLs projected on the system in 2030. Future DART LRT System operations are designed to account for the MLL for each area outlined. All forecasts have been provided by DART Capital Planning and Development.

FIGURE 3-1 DART AVERAGE WEEKDAY LRT RIDERSHIP



FIGURE 3-2 MAX LINK LOADS 2013 LRT SYSTEM



Based on forecasts provided in early 2009 by DART Capital Planning & Development



FIGURE 3-3 MAX LINK LOADS 2030 LRT SYSTEM

NOTE: The addition of Cotton Belt service and DCTA service influence the projected MLLs in this map, but the projects are not shown, as they are not LRT-related.

3.4 Step Two: Define Passenger Load Standards

3.4.1 Importance of Passenger Load Standards

The passenger load standard is a prime determinant of both passenger comfort/convenience and operating efficiency. Both of these factors are important to DART because they are keys to the level of service quality provided. Passenger load standards determine patron comfort and convenience in ways such as:

- the ability to board the first train traveling in the preferred direction;
- the general probability of obtaining a seat;
- the general proximity of other standees.

Passengers will not be as comfortable riding a train with a high load standard (more passengers on a train) than they would be riding a train with a low load standard (less passengers on a train). For a patron of DART LRT System, the ideal service level would mandate that a seat be available for every LRT passenger during the peak periods. However, such an objective would be cost prohibitive and an inefficient use of equipment and investment capital for DART as a transit agency.

3.4.2 Current Passenger Load Standards

The currently approved Service Standard of the DART Board of Directors is to maintain a passenger load standard of up to 1.25 in non-peak periods, 1.50 during peak periods, and 1.75 during the peak hour of the peak period.

Passenger Load Standards: Using a figure of 1.50 passengers per available seat (144 passengers per vehicle) *average of all trains* passing the maximum load point in the peak direction during the peak period.

How Passenger Load Standards are calculated:

In this document, rail vehicle standards are expressed in terms of the number of passengers per seat.

The "not to exceed" load standard is 144 passengers per vehicle.

There are 96 passenger seats per SLRV. Load standard is determined as follows: Primary Load Standard = 144 passengers / 96 seats = 1.50

3.4.3 Future Passenger Load Standard Objectives

Load Standard Objectives: DART maintains a long-term commitment to improving the quality of service provided to customers, and maintaining a low load standard is a way to meet customer demands for a better quality service.

Achieving a reduced load standard depends on the financial capability of DART to procure, maintain, and operate any additional vehicles required to meet this standard. Physical limitations of the rail system are also a factor. It is anticipated that DART Planning will review the load standard requirements with its Board of Directors on a regular basis in conjunction with the development of capital and operating budgets for the agency.

Based on the experience with the Starter System and Build-Out Phase I openings, it is optimal to plan Build-Out Phases II and III for revenue service at load standards as detailed in Section 3.4.2. These benchmarks do provide capacity for future growth.

3.5 Step Three: Determine Vehicle Run Times

3.5.1 Train Performance Calculations

The third step in the process is to analyze the run times for an SLRV consist over each scheduled route in the system using three methods, outlined below, to produce the summary results shown in Figure 3-4:

a) Estimation – Estimates of cycle times are made based on the expected characteristics of the line extensions where detailed alignment data is not yet available. The estimates are then verified using the TPC simulations when more detailed data becomes available.

b) Time study – time measurements are taken during a test run of the consist in question over the Line Section being tested. A complete cycle is observed, including run time, station stop times, signal dwell times, and turn time at each end of the line. A base cycle time for existing Line Sections is computed from this data.

c) Train Performance Calculations (TPC) – the TPC, a computer software package, is programmed with the physical characteristics for DART's SLRVs as well as detailed information on the track layout and features where the Line Section in question has not been constructed. TPC modeling has been utilized for the Phase II Build-Out Project to determine run times. The accuracy of the TPC simulation run times have been verified for all existing line sections in revenue service. TPC run time calculations for the Northwest Corridor and the Southeast Corridor have been performed.

LINE SE	CTION OPEN (FY) FROM TO		то	OW (min)	RT (min)	TT* (min)	CT (min)	
RED	Existing	-	Westmoreland	Parker Road	66	132	18	150
	Existing	-	Ledbetter	Garland	58	116	24	140
BLUE	R-1	2013	Ledbetter	Rowlett	65	130	20	150
	SOC-3	2019	UNT	Rowlett	72	144	16	160
CDEEN	NW/SE	2009	Victory	MLK	21	42	18	60
GKEEN	NW/SE	2011	N. Carrollton/Frankford Rd.	Buckner	67	134	16	150
ODANCE	I/NC	2012	N. Las Colinas	Parker Road	81	162	18	180
(NC)	I/NC	2013	Belt Line	Parker Road	90	180	20	200
(\mathbf{NC})	I/NC	2014	DFW North	Parker Road	105	210	20	230
ODANCE	I/SE	2012	N. Las Colinas	Lawnview	57	114	16	130
UKANGE (SE)	I/SE	2013	Belt Line	Lawnview	65	130	20	150
(SE)	I/SE	2014	DFW North	Lawnview	80	160	20	180

FIGURE 3-4 LRT Actual and Estimated Run Times

 $OW - One \ Way$

^{*} TT for future expansion Line Sections (I- and R- sections) are estimated and include the unknowns and variations of the alignments not yet finalized or defined. Changes to TT for existing Line Sections will be made in the event of Projects taking place on the Line Section (Lake Highlands Station, Valencia Development, etc.) when all details are finalized. All TT's will be fine-tuned as alignment data is available during the final design/construction process.

RT – Round Trip TT – Turn around time

CT – Cycle Time

3.6 Steps Four and Five: Establish the Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR)

The DART LRT system has a consist size limitation of a maximum of three (3) SLRVs, due to the physical configuration of the track and block section sizes.³ Consists larger than three SLRVs would extend into traffic crossing areas in the CBD. In addition, one-direction operating headways are limited to 2.5 minutes in this area. To determine the optimum operation necessary within these constraints, Steps Four and Five have been combined. Varied headway and consist size have been analyzed to determine the ideal arrangement that would meet DART's load standard objective. Optimum train size, given the consist size and headway limitations, was then selected.

For each variation of headway (5-to-10-minutes) and consist size (two or three SLRVs) the resulting load factors are determined based on the established peak period Maximum Link Loads for each line section. The ridership data shown is relevant to the 60 minutes of the peak period, reflecting the "peak of the peak." Figures 3-5 through 3-20 illustrate passenger load factor calculations for a given consist size and headway time for each line section combination, as well as a summary of headway time versus consist size.

³ Maximum consist of Green and Orange Lines=3 SLRVs, Red and Blue Lines=2 SLRVs, CBD Line - 3 SLRVs.

FIGURE 3-5 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV RED/ORANGE LINE – NORTH CENTRAL DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	2457	2055	1.07	0.89
2	6.67	96	192	9	1728	2457	2055	1.42	1.19
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	2457	2055	1.60	1.34
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	2457	2055	1.70	1.42
2	10	96	192	6	1152	2457	2055	2.13	1.78

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 2 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-6 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV RED/ORANGE LINE – NORTH CENTRAL SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2				
		2013	2030			
s) s	5	1.07	0.89			
wa ute:	6.67	1.42	1.19			
ead	7.5	1.60	1.34			
He (m	10	2.13	1.78			

FIGURE 3-7 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV RED/ORANGE/BLUE LINE – NORTH CENTRAL DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	4317	3789	1.87	1.64
2	6.67	96	192	9	1728	4317	3789	2.50	2.19
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	4317	3789	2.81	2.47
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	4317	3789	2.99	2.63
2	10	96	192	6	1152	4317	3789	3.75	3.29
1 & 2	5	96	96 & 192	12 (6-1, 6-2)	1728	4317	3789	2.50	2.19
2	<5	96	192	15	2880	4317	3789	1.49	1.31

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 2 vehicles

Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes

People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-8 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV RED/ORANGE/BLUE LINE – NORTH CENTRAL SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2				
		2013	2030			
	<5	1.49	1.31			
vay tes)	5	1.87	1.64			
nut	6.67	2.50	2.19			
Hea	7.5	2.81	2.47			
	10	3.75	3.29			

FIGURE 3-9 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV RED LINE – WEST OAK CLIFF DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	1682	2159	0.73	0.93
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	1682	2159	1.09	1.40
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	1682	2159	1.17	1.49
2	10	96	192	6	1152	1682	2159	1.46	1.87

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 2 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-10 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV RED LINE – WEST OAK CLIFF SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2				
		2013	2030			
N (R	5	0.73	0.93			
wa Ltes	7.5	1.09	1.40			
ead	8	1.17	1.49			
H (n	10	1.46	1.87			

FIGURE 3-11 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV BLUE LINE – GARLAND DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	1650	1956	0.71	0.85
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	1650	1956	1.07	1.27
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	1650	1956	1.15	1.35
2	10	96	192	6	1152	1650	1956	1.43	1.70

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 2 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-12 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV BLUE LINE – GARLAND SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2									
		2013	2030								
s) s	5	0.71	0.85								
wa ute:	7.5	1.07	1.27								
ead	8	1.15	1.35								
H (n	10	1.43	1.70								

FIGURE 3-13 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV BLUE LINE – SOUTH OAK CLIFF DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	1248	1750	0.54	0.75
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	1248	1750	0.81	1.14
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	1248	1750	0.87	1.22
2	10	96	192	6	1152	1248	1750	1.08	1.52

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 3 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-14 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV BLUE LINE – SOUTH OAK CLIFF SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2						
		2013	2030					
s)	5	0.54	0.75					
lw: ute	7.5	0.81	1.14					
eac	8	0.87	1.22					
H (n	10	1.08	1.52					

FIGURE 3-15 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV GREEN LINE – NORTHWEST DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2/3	5	96	192/288	12 (6-2, 6-3)	2880	1645	1592	0.57	0.55
2/3	7.5	96	192/288	8 (4-2, 4-3)	1920	1645	1592	0.86	0.83
2/3	8	96	192/288	7.5 (3-2, 4-3)	1728	1645	1592	0.95	0.92
2/3	10	96	192/288	6 (3-2, 3-3)	1440	1645	1592	1.14	1.11

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 3 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-16 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV GREEN LINE – NORTHWEST SUMMARY

		Consist Size – 2 / 3							
		2013	2030						
s)	5	0.57	0.55						
lw8 ute	7.5	0.86	0.83						
eac	8	0.95	0.92						
H U	10	1.14	1.11						

FIGURE 3-17 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV GREEN / ORANGE LINE – SOUTHEAST DETAIL

Number Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2 orange, 2/3 green	5	96	192/288	18:12-2 car/6-3 car	4032	3049	3307	0.75	0.82
2 orange, 2/3 green	6.67	96	192/288	9:6-2 car/3-3 car	2016	3049	3307	1.51	1.64
2 orange, 2/3 green	7.5	96	192/288	N/A	0	3049	3307	N/A	N/A
2 orange, 2/3 green	8	96	192/288	N/A	0	3049	3307	N/A	N/A
2 orange, 2/3 green	10	96	192/288	N/A	0	3049	3307	N/A	N/A

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 3 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-18 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV GREEN / ORANGE LINE – SOUTHEAST SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2 o	e - 2 orange, 2/3 green		
		2013	2030		
	5	0.75	0.82		
vay tes)	6.67	1.51	1.64		
adv	7.5	N/A	N/A		
Hea	8	N/A	N/A		
	10	N/A	N/A		

FIGURE 3-19 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTOR CALCULATIONS - SLRV ORANGE LINE – IRVING DETAIL

# Vehicles in Consist	Headway in Minutes	Seats per Vehicle	Total Seats in Consist	Trains per Hour	Seats per 60 Minutes	People per 60 Minutes 2013	People per 60 Minutes 2030	Load Factor 2013	Load Factor 2030
2	5	96	192	12	2304	1345	1298	0.58	0.56
2	7.5	96	192	8	1536	1345	1298	0.87	0.85
2	8	96	192	7.5	1440	1345	1298	0.93	0.90
2	10	96	192	6	1152	1345	1298	1.16	1.13

Assumptions

Maximum consist size is 3 vehicles Headway varies from 5 to 10 minutes People per hour based on DART Capital Planning and Development's computer model. Equivalent to peak MLL. SLRV fleet has 96 seats per vehicle.

Calculations

Total Seats in Consist = (seats per vehicle) x (# vehicles in consist) Trains per Hour = (1 hour in minutes) / (headway in minutes) Seats per Hour = (total seats in consist) x (trains per hour) Load Factor = (people per hour) / (seats per hour)

FIGURE 3-20 DART PASSENGER LOAD FACTORS - SLRV ORANGE LINE – IRVING SUMMARY

		Consist Size - 2								
		2013	2030							
yı s)	5	0.58	0.56							
lwa ute	7.5	0.87	0.85							
eac	8	0.93	0.90							
H (n	10	1.16	1.13							

3.6.1 Build-Out Phases II and III

The projected peak 60 minutes of the peak period Maximum Link Loads for the Build-Out Phase II and III Projects are evaluated. Various consist sizes are used for the purposes of this evaluation. Utilizing the cycle times (CT) from Figure 3-4, the following paragraphs will describe what the load standard will be utilizing this criteria and what would be required by DART in order to achieve the ideal passenger load factors.

For the Red/Orange Line – North Central Summary (Figure 3-6) running on the Red Line at 10minute headways with two car consists and on the Orange Line at 20-minute headways with two car consists would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.42 during the peak period maximum link load of 2,457.

For the Red/Orange/Blue Line – North Central Summary (Figure 3-8) running at 10-minute headways with a two car consist on each of the Red and Blue Lines, and at 20-minute headway with a two car consist on the Orange Line, would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.49 during the peak period maximum link load of 4,317. This is possible because the combined headway of the three lines will be less than 5 minutes.

For the Red Line – West Oak Cliff Summary (Figure 3-10) running 10-minute headways with a two car consist would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.46 during the peak period maximum link load of 1,682.

For the Blue Line – Garland Summary (Figure 3-12) running 10-minute headways with a two car consist would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.43 during the peak period maximum link load of 1,650.

For the Blue Line – South Oak Cliff Summary (Figure 3-14) running 10-minute headways with a two car consist would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.08 during the peak period maximum link load of 1,248.

For the Green Line – Northwest Summary (Figure 3-16) running 10-minute headways with alternating two-and-three car consists would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.14 during the peak period maximum link load of 1,645.

For the Green Line/Orange Line – Pleasant Grove Summary (Figure 3-18) both two car Orange Line consists (with 20 minute headways) and alternating two-and-three car Green Line consists (with 10 minute headways) would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.51 during the peak period maximum link load of 3,049.

For the Orange Line – Irving Summary (Figure 3-20) running 10-minute headways with a two car consist would achieve an average passenger load factor of 1.16 during the peak period maximum link load of 1,345.

The next step is to calculate the number of train consists required on each line. The number of train consists required on each line to support the required headways can be calculated as follows:

The Red Line currently has a cycle time of 150 minutes as determined in step three. During the selected ten-minute headway period a 150-minute cycle time requires a total of 15 consists in operation.

Total number of consists are determined for the Red Line as follows: 150 minute cycle time / 10-minute headway = 15 consists required 15 total Red Line consists required

The Blue Line currently has a cycle time of 140 minutes, as determined in step three. During the selected ten-minute headway period a 140-minute cycle time requires a total of 14 consists in operation.

Total number of consists are determined for the Blue Line as follows: 140 minute cycle time / 10-minute headway = 14 consists required 14 total Blue Line consists required

The Green Line has a projected cycle time of 60 minutes in FY 2009, as determined in step three. During the selected ten-minute headway period a 60-minute cycle time requires a total of 6 consists in operation.

Total number of consists are determined for the Green Line as follows: 60 minute cycle time / 10-minute headway = 6 consists required 6 total Green Line consists required

The Orange Line (North Central) has a projected cycle time of 200 minutes in FY 2013, as determined in step three. During the selected twenty-minute headway period (only half the trains will operate this segment during peak periods) a 200-minute cycle time requires a total of 10 consists in operation.

Total number of consists determined for the Orange Line (NC) as follows: 200 minute cycle time / 20-minute headway = 10 consists required 10 total Orange Line (North Central) consists required

The Orange Line (Southeast) has a projected cycle time of 150 minutes in FY 2013, as determined in step three. During the selected twenty-minute headway period (only half the trains will operate this segment during peak periods) a 150-minute cycle time requires a total of 7.5 (8) consists in operation.

Total number of consists determined for the Orange Line (SE) as follows: 150 minute cycle time / 20-minute headway = 7.5 = 8 consists required 8 total Orange Line (Southeast) consists required The number of vehicles per train consists required on each Line to support the required headways can be determined as follows (illustrated in Figure 3-21):

- For the Red Line a total of 30 vehicles are required now for the peak period operations and in the foreseeable future. (15 consists x 2 vehicles per consist = 30 vehicles). Note that this does not include extra or "filler" Red Line trains being operated from Parker Road to the CBD during morning rush hour to alleviate overcrowding.
- For the Blue Line a total of 28 vehicles are required now for the peak period operations. More vehicles will be required as the service on the Blue Line is extended. (14 consists x 2 vehicles per consist = 28 vehicles).
- For the Green Line a total of 15 vehicles would be required for the peak period operations in 2009. More vehicles will be required as the service on the Green Line is extended. [6 consists x (2 or 3) vehicles per consist = 15 vehicles].
- For the Orange Line a total of 42 vehicles would be required for the peak period operations of the North Central and Southeast Orange Line segments in 2014. (21 consists x 2 vehicles per consist = 42 vehicles).
- For Phase II there will be a requirement for 142 vehicles by FY 2014 and for Phase III there will be a requirement for an additional 6 vehicles in FY 2019.

The number of vehicles needed to meet peak vehicle demand is known as the Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR). The distribution of peak vehicle requirement is demonstrated in Figure 3-21 along with the required cycle times. This figure also identifies the additional SLRVs required as new areas of service are opened.

		V						4 1 1 4 4 1
		Year	Cycle		Number	SLRVS	Operating	Additional
		Open	Time	Headway	of trains	per	SLRVs	SLRVs
	Operating Section	(FY)	(min)	(min)	required	train	required	required
RED	Westmoreland – Parker Road	-	150	10	15	2	30	0
ы	Ledbetter - Downtown Garland	-	140	10	14	2	28	0
ГŪ	Ledbetter – Rowlett	2013	150	10	15	2	30	2
B	UNT – Rowlett	2019	160	10	16	2	32	2
EN	Victory – MLK	2009	60	10	6	2&3	15	15
GRI	N. Carrollton/Frankford Road - Buckner	2011	150	10	15	2&3	38	23
(NC)	N. Las Colinas – Parker Road	2012	180	20	9	2	18	18
INGE	Belt Line – Parker Road	2013	200	20	10	2	20	2
ORA	DFW North – Parker Road	2014	230	20	12	2	24	4
SE)	N. Las Colinas – Lawnview	2012	130	20	6.5 (7)	2	14	14
NGE (Beltline – Lawnview	2013	150	20	7.5 (8)	2	16	2
ORA	DFW North – Lawnview	2014	180	20	9	2	18	2
				-		Total	142	
					,	•	Total	69

FIGURE 3-21 PEAK VEHICLES REQUIRED AS EACH LINE SEGMENT IS OPENED

Figure 3-22 is the Passenger Demand for Revenue Vehicles chart. This chart reflects the number of operating vehicles required per line per fiscal year.

FIGURE 3-22 DART PASSENGER DEMAND FOR REVENUE VEHICLES, PVR

	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY
	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Red Line	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Blue Line	28	28	28	28	30	30	30	30	30	30	32
Green Line	15	15	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
Orange Line (NC)	0	0	0	18	20	24	24	24	24	24	24
Orange Line (SE)	0	0	0	14	16	18	18	18	18	18	18
PVR	73	73	96	128	134	140	140	140	140	140	142

3.7 Step Six: Determine If Ready Service/Gap/Standby Trains Will Be Utilized

Another factor in determining the demand for vehicles is the quantity of ready service/gap/standby trains and/or vehicles required. DART does not use, and does not plan to use, standby trains in its daily operations.

3.8 Step Seven: Determine Spares Required

The first step in determining the number of operating spares required for the DART light rail vehicle fleet is to understand the Agency's maintenance philosophy. Only by further understanding the maintenance plan may the spare requirement be fully developed. Maintenance of DART light rail vehicles is essential to keep them in working order (clean, safe, reliable, and attractive) for the use of the general public. The vehicle is a major capital investment, and maintenance procedures have been developed that seek to maximize its service life and reduce the level of capital expenditures.

DART's LRV fleet has proven to be very reliable. Maintenance challenges have been present since the inception of the system, but for the most part, there have been very few maintenance issues, due to large-scale mechanical concerns, with the fleet. Of course, developing the maintenance requirements was difficult at first, due in large part to the newness of the vehicles themselves, and their relatively unique nature. At the inception of system operations, maintenance processes and procedures were governed by the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) specifications. Later operational and maintenance issues led to the adoption of a comprehensive scheduled/unscheduled maintenance plan, with both types of maintenance being performed in such a way as to minimize downtime (and thus, reduce the spare ratio). Mileage-based prescriptive maintenance procedures, along with time-based maintenance schedules, were planned and carried out at system maintenance facilities on the vehicles.

In FY 2007, the general maintenance plan was upgraded and modified to better reflect the service profile present on the DART system; as well as to better deal with existing fleet conditions. This upgraded maintenance plan, developed by the Maintenance Department, is called the Maintenance Operational Plan (MOP). While the FY 2007 plan was largely based on the principles and practices contained in the preceding plans, it should be noted that the current plan is an overhaul of these plans that incorporates both the best features of the previous plans and new developments in the rail fleet maintenance area. The development of the MOP ensures the continued success of the Maintenance Department in dealing with upkeep issues in the DART light rail vehicle fleet.

The Maintenance Operational Plan contains performance goals (and associated metrics) for the maintenance of the DART light rail vehicle fleet. While all of the goals are applicable, in a broader sense, to the maintenance of this fleet, only two are relevant to the Rail Fleet Management Plan:

•	Maintaining the operating spare ratio	(<14% of fleet)
•	Managing the mean distance between road calls	(31,584 miles-FY09 goal)

The rest of the goals are focused on the processes and procedures that will be implemented to accomplish these two major goals. To meet these goals, the MOP focuses on four established maintenance work process types, and provides a vision as to how the Maintenance Department is to focus under each. These work types are:

Condition Based Maintenance (CBM) consists of maintenance that is performed based on the condition of various light rail vehicle features. These features can include the vehicle's exterior, interior, and/or the vehicle's mechanical condition. CBM can include: a) preventative maintenance inspections (PMI) performed by skilled labor in accordance with PMI procedures (based on mileage or feature condition); or, b) light rail vehicle servicing performed by outside contractors in accordance with procedural guidance. This would incorporate vehicle cleaning, different subsystem lubrication/adjustment/replacement done on an as-needed basis, and various 10/20/60K mileage interval inspections. It is expected that 35% of fleet within the spare ratio may be in maintenance status due to CBM work, at any given time.

Corrective Maintenance (CM) encompasses curative maintenance functions performed to bring a vehicle back to operating condition, such as repair of vehicles due to accidents, mechanical failures, and/or other reported deficiencies. CM may be performed in a shop location; or, unlike other types of maintenance, may be performed as a "running repair" at the location of the vehicle failure or issue (online or on the right-of-way). CM includes repairs done by skilled labor as a result of reported defects, making new vehicles ready for service, body/interior requirements, or due to accidents. It is expected that 25% of the fleet within the spare ratio may be in maintenance status due to CM work, at any given time.

Fixed Scheduled Maintenance (FSM) includes maintenance that is performed at a scheduled interval based on the life cycle of the LRV component or system. FSM may be performed by skilled labor according to standard operating procedures. They will perform tasks such as rebuilding components or systems, replacing parts of systems, or other functions as defined. Various FSM component focus areas would be overhauled, such as the doors, pantographs, and traction motors. It is expected that 20% of the fleet within the spare ratio may be in maintenance status due to FSM work, at any given time.

Campaign Maintenance consists of maintenance that is performed to reconfigure the fleet (or a selected subset of the fleet) with modifications driven by alterations to OEM requirements and/or operating efficiencies. Campaign Maintenance is performed by skilled labor in accordance with OEM and/or engineering requirements/recommendations. It is expected that 10% of the fleet within the spare ratio may be in maintenance status due to campaign maintenance work, at any given time.

The Maintenance Department has requested the remaining 10% of the fleet within the spare ratio be allocated to account for part stocking issues or lead-time on materials fabrication/replacement/ordering times. This addition completes the allocation of the spare LRV fleet requirement, which itself was broken out of the entire LRV fleet in the overall goals of the Maintenance Department's MOP.

3.9 Step Eight: Determine Total Fleet Demand

3.9.1 Spare Ratio

The operating spare ratio has been determined using calculations contained within the Transit Cooperative Research Program's Synthesis 15, <u>System-Specific Spare Vehicles Ratios</u>, A Synthesis of Transit Practice, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington D.C., 1995. The above-referenced document identifies the FTA reporting formula used to determine the spare ratio for Section 15 reporting purposes as follows:

Total Active Fleet – Peak Vehicle Requirement= Operating Spare RatioPeak Vehicle Requirement= Operating Spare Ratio

DART has established that the optimal Operating Spare Ratio to maintain operations is 14%. This ratio is consistent with DART's scheduled preventative maintenance requirements as well as trends in unscheduled corrective maintenance. This operating spare ratio is within the range of values typically used by other light rail systems.

3.9.2 Summary

Figure 3-23 shows the total Peak Vehicle Requirement (PVR), total number of spare vehicles and total fleet requirement for fiscal years (FY) 2009-2019. The total fleet size for each fiscal year is determined by adding the PVR to the number of spare vehicles required (Figure 3-23).

	FY										
	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Red Line PVR	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Blue PVR	28	28	28	28	30	30	30	30	30	30	32
Green Line PVR	15	15	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
Orange Line (NC) PVR	0	0	0	18	20	24	24	24	24	24	24
Orange Line (SE) PVR	0	0	0	14	16	18	18	18	18	18	18
Peak Vehicle Requirement	73	73	96	128	134	140	140	140	140	140	142
Condition Based Maintenance	4	4	5	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
(35% of spare ratio)		4									
Fixed Schedule Maintenance	3	3	4	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6
(25% of spare ratio)	5	5	-	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Corrective Maintenance	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
(20% of spare ratio)	2	2	5	т	т	5	5	5	5	5	5
Maintenance Campaigns	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
(10% of spare ratio)	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Spare Parts / Logistics	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
(10% of spare ratio)	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Spare SLRVs (14% of TFD)	12*	12*	16*	21*	22*	23	23	23	23	23	23
Total Fleet Demand	85	85	112	149	156	163	163	163	163	163	165

FIGURE 3-23 DART OVERALL DEMAND FOR REVENUE VEHICLES (14% Spare Ratio)

*total does not match due to decimal and percentage rounding

4.0 The Supply of Revenue Vehicles

4.1 Existing Fleet

The DART light rail vehicle fleet numbers 115 vehicles, all built by Kinkisharyo (USA) Inc., and delivered as shown in Figure 4-1. DART is in the process of converting the existing fleet to SLRVs, as detailed in Section 2.5 and shown in Figure 4-2.

FIGURE 4-1	FIGURE 4-2							
DART LIGHT RAIL VEHICLE	SLRV RETROFIT SCHEDULE							
PROCUREMENT HISTORY								

		Date	Retrofits Completed (Cum.)
Year	Vehicles Delivered	Jun 09	~40
1996	40	Sep 09	52
1999	34	Jun 10	88
2000	21	Dec 10	112
2005	20	Feb 11	115

4.2 Planned Rail Vehicle Procurements

Based on the information presented, DART plans to acquire an additional 48 vehicles (SLRVs) for Build-Out Phase IIA and IIB, with a planned delivery schedule shown in Figure 4-3. The total fleet supply will grow from 115 to 140 vehicles by FY 2011. Procurement of additional SLRVs will be necessary due to demand generated by the Build-Out Phase IIB/III Projects, and will bring the total fleet size up to 163 SLRVs by early 2011.

FIGURE 4-3 PROJECTED SLRV DELIVERY SCHEDULE BUILD-OUT PHASE IIA, IIB, and III

Date	SLRVs Delivered
May 2010	5
June 2010	4
July 2010	4
August 2010	5
September 2010	4
October 2010	6
November 2010	4
December 2010	4
January 2011	4
February 2011	4
March 2011	4
Total SLRVs Acquired	48

5.0 Revenue Vehicle Demand and Supply Balance

This section illustrates the balance between vehicle demand and vehicle supply. Figure 5-1 shows the required vehicles in relation to the actual vehicle supply and the resulting vehicle balance. The surplus/deficit ratios have also been calculated.

FIGURE 5-1 DART SLRV DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE FY2009 – FY2019

	FY										
	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Red Line PVR	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Blue PVR	28	28	28	28	30	30	30	30	30	30	32
Green Line PVR	15	15	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
Orange Line (NC) PVR	0	0	0	18	20	24	24	24	24	24	24
Orange Line (SE) PVR	0	0	0	14	16	18	18	18	18	18	18
Peak Vehicle Requirement	73	73	96	128	134	140	140	140	140	140	142
Spare Ratio SLRVs (14% of TFD)	12	12	16	21	22	23	23	23	23	23	23
Total Fleet Demand	85	85	112	149	156	163	163	163	163	163	165
Planned Vehicle Supply	115	121	140	149	163	163	163	163	163	163	165
Vehicle Balance	30	36	28	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Surplus/Deficit (ex. Of Spare Ratio)	26%	30%	20%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%